

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,265	08/18/2003	James Edward Angelo	50103-566 1518		
49745	7590 07/31/2006		EXAMINER		
	TECHNOLOGY LLC	FALASCO, LOUIS V			
600 13TH ST	MOTT WILL & EMERY : [REET, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005-3096		1773		
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	A !! + (-)	———₩
Office Action Comments		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/642,265	ANGELO ET AL.	ANGELO ET AL.
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Louis Falasco	1773	
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FF - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ROM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. the maximum statutory period w d period for reply will, by statute, en three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 16(a). In no event, however, may a rep ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  by be timely filed  from the mailing date of this of the control of the c	
Status				
•	2b)⊠ This in condition for allowar	26. action is non-final. ace except for formal matter ax parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.		e merits is
4) Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pe	) is/are withdrav			
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are al 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are ob 8) ☑ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> are subje	jected. ojected to.	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is object	sted to by the Evamine	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on _ Applicant may not request	is/are: a) acce that any objection to the et(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is mad a) All b) Some * c)  1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the cert application from the	None of: f the priority documents f the priority documents ified copies of the prior ne International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re	olication No eceived in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/642,265

**Art Unit: 1773** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# PAPERS RECEIVED

Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement filed 8/18/03 is acknowledged, but will not be considered in this action.

### <u>CLAIMS</u>

The claims are 11 to 20.

## RESTRICTION OF INVENTION

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 11-18, drawn to a recording medium, classified in class 428, subclass 848.8.
  - II. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to a stamper, classified in class 425, subclass 110.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions Group II and Group I are related as apparatus and product

  made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of

Art Unit: 1773

the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product such as a retro-reflective sheeting, or holographic and liquid-crystal displays.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and because the inventions require a different field of search, a search in 428/303 required for Group II, is not required for Group I and a search in 425/848.1 required for Group I, is not required for Group II (see MPEP § 808.02) placing a burden on examination, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

If Group I is elected:

The following patentably distinct species with non-coextensive search areas must also be elected from Group I:

Application/Control Number: 10/642,265

**Art Unit: 1773** 

A. Annular shaped zones with search in class 369, subclass 111 included in claim 12.

Page 4

- B. *Rectangular embossments* with search in class 369, subclass 124.08 included in claim 13.
- C. Sinusoidal embossments with search in class 369, subclass 99 included in claim 14.
- D. Metal magnetic media substrates comprised of **Al**, **Al based alloy** or **Al/NiP** with search in class 428, subclass 846.7 included in claim 15.
- E. Organic compound magnetic media substrates comprised of **polymer** with search in class 428, subclass 847 included in claim 15.
- F. Magnetic media substrates comprised of **glass**, **glass-ceramic** or **ceramic** and **glass**, **glass-ceramic** or **ceramic** substrates with *sol-gel* coating with search in class 428, subclass 846.9 included in claims 15 and 16.
- G. Servo pattern substrates with search in class 369, subclass 278 included in claim 17.
- H. Magnetic media laminates in the data zone of a magnetic medium with search in class 360, subclass 94 included in claim 18.
- 4. Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits. Currently claim 11 is generic. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species

Application/Control Number: 10/642,265 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 5. Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 7. A telephone call was made to John Hankins on July 18, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/642,265

Art Unit: 1773

9. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under *37 CFR 1.48(b)* and by the fee required under *37 CFR 1.17(i)*.

## **INQUIRY**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis Falasco whose telephone number is (571)272-1507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1285. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LF 07/06

CAROL CHANEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 6